

Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the Growth and Yield of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

Musfikar Yeasmin¹, Md. Rezaul Karim², & Md. Mohimenul Islam^{3,4*}

Abstract

Stubble burning in Punjab and Haryana constitutes an agricultural crisis with catastrophic environmental consequences, releasing hazardous pollutants that degrade air quality, exacerbate climate change, and endanger public health. This comprehensive study quantifies environmental costs using satellite data (2002–2025), economic loss assessments, and policy evaluations. Findings reveal that 84 million tonnes (Mt) of India's annual agricultural residue is burned, emitting 3.4 Mt of CO, 91 Mt of CO₂, and 1.2 Mt of PM_{2.5}, with Punjab and Haryana contributing 30.5 Mt annually (Chauhan *et al.*, 2024). Post-monsoon burning peaks in November due to groundwater preservation policies compressing harvest windows, increasing Delhi's PM_{2.5} by 23–26% (Singh & Kumar, 2025). Policy analysis demonstrates underutilization of Crop Residue Management (CRM) machinery despite subsidies, with only 36% adoption due to financial and technical barriers. Viable alternatives like biomass energy generation could avert USD 120 million in annual losses while restoring soil health through agroecological principles (Altieri & Nicholls, 2023). The study recommends integrated solutions combining varietal diversification, ex-situ market incentives, and stricter enforcement to transition toward zero-burn agriculture by 2030.

Keywords

Stubble burning, Air pollution, Crop residue management, Agricultural policy, Particulate matter, Punjab-Haryana, Environmental health, Biomass valorization

¹Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

²Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

³Department of Crop Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia

⁴Horticulture Division, Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Mymensingh-2202, Bangladesh

INTRODUCTION

Botanically known as the genus *Capsicum*, sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) is a member of Solanaceae family. According to Shoemaker and Teskey, (1955) it is indigenous to Brazil and tropical South America. It was brought to Spain by Columbus, and India received it from Brazil via the Portuguese. exclusively four of the approximately 20 species in the genus capsicum are grown commercially; *C. pendulum* and *C. pubescens* are exclusively found in Central and South America. The two other species *C. frutescens* and *C. annuum* are widely farmed around the globe. The majority of dry chilies and all green chilies on the market come from the most widely cultivated species, *C. annuum*. It has been chosen based on the varieties that are

utilized in international trade because capsicum fruits varied greatly in size, shape, and mature color (Singh, 2000). Eleven groups make up the species, which can be split into sweet pepper and spicy peppers (Elia and Santamaria, 1995). After the tomato, sweet pepper is the second major significant vegetable crop due to its thick flesh and generally mild or mildly pungent flavor (Yoon et al. 1989). Bell pepper, green pepper and capsicum are further names for sweet pepper. It can be consumed as a raw or cooked salad. Additionally, the leaves are eaten as a salad, in soups, or with rice (Lovelock, 1973). Additionally, Knott and Deanon, (2002) found that it was a reliable source of a tome for gout, paralysis, and a pharmaceutical concoction for black vomit. There are no statistics on the production of sweet pepper, a minor vegetable in

*Corresponding Author: Md. Mohimenul Islam

© The Author(s) 2025, This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC)

Bangladesh (Hasanuzzaman, 1999). In the Gazipur and Dhaka districts, small-scale agriculture is practiced to serve the city of Dhaka's market. For several large hotels in Bangladesh's capital, catering to foreigners is in high demand (Rashid, 1999). Although Bangladesh has only recently adopted this crop, it is in high demand for export and has a high nutritional value. Although sweet pepper is low in energy, it is high in nutrients, notably in terms of vitamins A and C. Fertilizer is considered as an important element in crop production. According to Uddin and Khalequzzaman (2003), nitrogen is one of these nutrients that is crucial for healthy plant establishment and anticipated growth. A sweet pepper's correct growth, development, and maximum output are all boosted by the right amount of nitrogen fertilizers. According to Demirovska et al. (1992), slow release fertilizers are also very promising for the cultivation of solanaceous plants like tomato and eggplant. They discovered that 92 tons of tomatoes may be produced per hectare using slow-release fertilizers, as opposed to only 42 tons with regular commercial fertilizers. Numerous scientists from around the world have been striving to commercially cultivate sweet pepper under diverse cultural conditions. Quantity and quality of capsicum were impacted by fertilizer rate. According to Kumar et al. (2006), fertilizer rates affected the color and capsaicin concentration of powdered pepper. The effects of phosphorus on pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) yield, yield components, nutritional concentration, and food value have been noted. Phosphorus levels at 125 kg per hectare considerably improved the height, leaves number, leaf area and branches of pepper plants. Early flowering, maturity period and yield of the treated plants were also markedly improved by the application of phosphorus (Alabi, 2006). The Rabi season in Bangladesh, which spans the months of October to April, is when sweet pepper is primarily farmed. The ideal amounts of phosphorus and nitrogen are related to the highest output of sweet pepper. To determine how fertilizers, affect the development and yield of sweet peppers, some studies were carried out in the BARI and Mymensingh regions. There is no doubt that various nutrient sources play a crucial

role in crop production. In order to develop physiologically, morphologically, and biologically, plants use nitrogen and phosphorus, two of the key macronutrients. Fruit productivity and marketability are increased by nitrogen. The effectiveness of nitrogen fertilizer application in Bangladesh was a typical 30%. Fertilizer containing nitrogen and phosphorus is regarded as being essential for its proper development. In light of the aforementioned information, the current study has been conducted to look into how nitrogen and phosphorus affect the growth and yield of sweet pepper.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This investigation was done from October 2018 to April 2019 at the Farm of Horticulture Department of Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh. The BARI Misti Morich-1 was employed as the planting material for this exploration and sowing materials were collected from Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Joydevpur, Gazipur. Considerable two factors in the experiment were four levels of nitrogen (N) i.e., N_0 : 0, N_1 : 50, N_2 : 100 and N_3 : 150 kg N ha⁻¹ respectively and four levels of phosphorus (P) fertilizer viz., P_0 : 0, P_1 : 30, P_2 : 60 kg and P_3 : 90 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively. Urea (46% nitrogen in urea) and TSP (21% P in TSP) were used as the sources of nitrogen and phosphorus according to the treatments. The experiment was embedded using a three-replication randomized block design. In addition to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers, other manures and fertilizers such as, cow dung 100 kg ha⁻¹, muriate of potash (MoP) 300 kg ha⁻¹ were applied for normal growth and development of sweet pepper plant. During the last stage of land preparation, all fertilizers aside from urea and molybdenum were applied. At 30, 45, and 60 DAT, the remaining urea and MoP were applied in three equal portions. Manual irrigation was performed after each top dressing. Seedlings were cultivated in seedbeds, and when they were 30 days old and healthy, they were transplanted onto a 3 m × 2.25 m plot while maintaining a spacing of 50 cm x 40 cm and a distance of 30 cm and 60 cm, respectively, from plot to plot and block to block. To determine the statistical significance of the experimental

results, data was acquired from five randomly chosen plants from each plot and statistical analysis was performed on the results. The means for each treatment were determined and the variance for each character was examined using the F test and LSD test was executed at 5% level of probability (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Plant height (cm)

Nitrogen was discovered to have considerable main effects on the plant's height ($P \leq 0.05$) at different days after transplanting (DAT). The best tall plant (45.40 cm) was achieved with nitrogen application at 150 kg ha⁻¹ and the shortest (42.13 cm) was found in control treatment (N₀) at 125 DAT (Table 1). On the plant height at various days following transplanting, it was also discovered that the main influence of various quantities of phosphorus was substantial (Table 2). P₃ treatment had the highest plant height (44.69 cm), while P₀, the control treatment had the lowest plant height (43.18 cm), both at 125 DAT (Table 2). Regarding plant height, the cumulative effects of nitrogen and phosphorus were also substantial. However, the maximum plant height was obtained with treatment combination N₃P₃ and the minimum with N₀P₀. At 75 DAT, the tallest plant was obtained with same N₃P₃ treatment combination, while the minimum from N₀P₀ (Table 3). Result of present study was following the findings of Grazia et al. (2007) reported that the use of both nitrogen and phosphorus boosted the height of plant. Chailloux et al. (1992) also found that application of higher doses of nitrogen fertilizer raised the plant height

more of sweet pepper cultivated during *Rabi* season.

Leaves plant⁻¹ (no.)

Good leaf count is a sign of superior sweet pepper growth and development and is directly concerned to yield. The photosynthetic area increases with leaves count, increasing yield. The count of leaves plant⁻¹ at various DAT varied significantly according to seedling age ($P \leq 0.05$). Maximum leaves plant⁻¹ (124.61) was obtained when the plants were fertilized with nitrogen @ 150 kg ha⁻¹ and the minimum number of leaves plant⁻¹ (123.50) produced from the control treatment N₀ (Table 1). The quantity of leaves produced by a plant as the phosphorus level increased in response to different phosphorus doses. At 125 DAT the highest leaves count (125.10) was obtained from 90 kg P ha⁻¹ whereas the treatment P₀ produced the least number of leaves plant⁻¹ (123.09). The application of phosphorus likely increased as plant height rose, and this nutrient's effects eventually led to an increase the leaves count (Table 2). The quantity of leaves plant⁻¹ was significantly impacted by the cumulative effects of nitrogen and phosphorus. Each plant's number of leaves was counted to be the highest (125.26) from 150 kg N ha⁻¹ with 90 kg P ha⁻¹ mimicked by 100 kg N ha⁻¹ with 90 kg P h⁻¹ treatment (125.21) whereas the lowest number (122.38) was found from control treatment N₀P₀ (Table 3). The findings of the Grazia et al. (2007) lend credence to the study's findings who reported that the usage of both nitrogen and phosphorus provoked the leaves count plant⁻¹ in sweet pepper.

Table 1. Effects of various nitrogen fertilizer doses on growth and yield of sweet pepper

Doses of nitrogen fertilizer	Plant height at 125 DAT	Leaves at 125 DAT (no.)	Primary branch plant ⁻¹ at 125 DAT (no.)	Secondary branch plant ⁻¹ at 125 DAT (no.)	Fruits plant ⁻¹ (no.)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Wt. of individual fruit (g)	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Yield (ton ha ⁻¹)
N ₀	42.13	123.50	3.02	20.67	9.65	4.63	4.04	35.57	307.17	14.39
N ₁	43.41	123.88	3.17	22.02	10.47	4.94	4.09	46.42	412.29	17.96
N ₂	44.50	124.29	3.46	22.41	10.90	5.17	4.30	51.43	478.21	22.30
N ₃	45.40	124.61	3.91	22.76	11.55	5.62	4.41	53.26	493.01	25.85
LSD _(0.05)	0.16	0.07	0.1	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.12	0.73	7.47	0.52
Level of significance	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

N₀, N₁, N₂ and N₃ indicate 0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively;

* suggests significant at 5% probability level

Primary branches plant⁻¹ (no.)

Main effects of nitrogen on primary branches for each plant were found to be significant ($P \leq 0.05$) at different days after transplanting (DAT). The highest primary branches plant⁻¹ (3.91) was found in N₃ at 125 DAT and the lowest (3.02) was recorded from N₀ at 125 DAT (Table 1). The main impact of various phosphorus levels was also discovered to be substantial for the number of primary branches plant⁻¹ at various days following transplanting (Table 2). The most primary branches possible on a single plant (3.72) was recorded from P₃ at 125 DAT and the bare minimum of main branches per plant (3.15) was recorded from P₀. The combined and interaction effect of nitrogen and phosphorus in the number of primary branches per plant at different DAT were statistically significant. The best count of primary branches plant⁻¹ (4.55) was noticed at 125 DAT from the combination of treatment N₃P₃ (Table 3). Result of present study agreed with the results of Das and Rath, (1992) who investigated that the use of both nitrogen and phosphorus increased the quantity of primary branches plant⁻¹.

Secondary branches plant⁻¹ (no.)

Main effects of nitrogen on secondary branches plant⁻¹ were found to be significant ($P \leq 0.05$) at different days after transplanting (DAT). Maximum secondary branches plant⁻¹ (22.76) was recorded from N₃ at 125 DAT and the minimum (20.67) was recorded from N₀ at 125 DAT (Table 1). Main effects of different doses of phosphorus was also significant on secondary branches plant⁻¹ at different DAT (Table 2).

Maximum secondary branches plant⁻¹ (22.71) was recorded from P₃ at 125 DAT and the minimum (21.41) was recorded from P₀. The cumulative and interactions effects of nitrogen and phosphorus in the quantity of secondary branches plant⁻¹ at different DAT were statistically significant. The maximum secondary branches plant⁻¹ (23.31) was obtained at 125 DAT from the treatment combination of N₃P₃ (Table 3). Result of present study aligned with the findings of Chailloux et al. (1992) also found that application of higher doses of nitrogen fertilizer increased the quantity of secondary branches plant⁻¹ of sweet pepper.

Fruits plant⁻¹ (no.)

At different DAT, the various nitrogen doses significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) influenced the amount of fruits produced per plant. As shown in (Table 1), N₃ produced the most fruits per plant (11.55) whereas N₀ produced the fewest (9.65). The number of fruits produced by each plant was influenced significantly by different phosphorus levels. From (Table 2) P₃ produced the most fruits per plant (11.01) while P₀ produced the fewest fruits per plant (10.36). The combined and interaction effects of nitrogen and phosphorus for number of fruits plant⁻¹ were significant statistically ($P \leq 0.05$). The maximum quantity of fruits plant⁻¹ (12.33) was found from the combination treatment of N₃P₃ (Table 3). Result of present study is aligned with the reports of Grazia et al. (2007) reported that the application of both phosphorus and nitrogen increased the number of fruits plant⁻¹ in sweet pepper.

Table 2. Effects of various phosphorus fertilizer doses on growth and yield of sweet pepper

Doses of phosphorus	Plant height at 125 DAT	Leaves at 125 DAT (no.)	Primary branch plant ⁻¹ at 125 DAT (no.)	Secondary branch plant ⁻¹ at 125 DAT (no.)	Fruits plant ⁻¹ (no.)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Wt. of individual fruit (g)	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Yield (ton ha ⁻¹)
P ₀	43.18	123.09	3.15	21.41	10.36	4.85	4.07	43.89	397.21	17.90
P ₁	43.56	123.82	3.28	21.80	10.47	4.98	4.11	46.23	414.50	19.86
P ₂	44.00	124.27	3.41	21.95	10.73	5.11	4.22	47.36	430.53	20.93

P₃	44.69	125.10	3.72	22.71	11.01	5.40	4.43	49.20	448.46	21.81
LSD_(0.05)	0.14	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.92	0.66	7.08	0.49
Level of significance	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

P₀, P₁, P₂ and P₃ demark 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively; * indicates significant at 5% level of probability

Fruit length (cm)

Fruit length was influenced significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) by the different nitrogen doses. The maximum fruit length (5.62 cm) was found from N₃ and the minimum (4.63 cm) was from N₀ (Table 1). Various phosphorus levels have substantial effects on fruit length. The maximum fruit length (5.40 cm) was found from P₃ and the minimum fruit length (4.85 cm) was found from P₀ (Table 2). The combined and interaction effects of phosphorus and nitrogen on fruit length at different DAT had statistical significance. The treatment combination of N₃P₃ produced the most desired fruit length (6.28 cm) (Table 3).

Fruit diameter (cm)

Fruit diameter was influenced significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) by the different nitrogen doses. The maximum fruit diameter (4.41 cm) was taken from N₃ and the minimal (4.04 cm) from N₀ (Table 1). The varying quantities of phosphorus had a substantial impact on fruit diameter. P₀ produced the fruit with the smallest diameter (4.07 cm), whereas P₃ produced the fruit with the largest diameter (4.43 cm) (Table 2). The combined and interaction effects of phosphorus and nitrogen on fruit diameter were significant ($P \leq 0.05$) statistically. The highest fruit diameter (4.68 cm) was attained from the combined treatment of N₃P₃ (Table 3).

Individual Fruit Weight (g)

The effects of nitrogen and phosphorus were significant in respect of individual weight of fruit ($P \leq 0.05$). Different degrees of nitrogen application caused a sizable difference in the

weight of each individual fruit. The maximum fruit weight (53.26 g) was attained from N₃ and the minimal fruit weight (35.57 g) was recorded from N₀ (Table 1). Single fruit weight was influenced significantly by various phosphorus doses. The maximum fruit weight (49.20 g) was attained from P₃ and the minimum fruit weight (43.89 g) from P₀ (Table 2). The cumulative and interaction effects of phosphorus and nitrogen in fruit weight were statistically significant. The maximum fruit weight (54.59 g) was obtained from the combined treatment of N₃P₃ (Table 3). Akinrinde et al. (2005) also found that application of higher doses of phosphorus fertilizer increased the individual fruit weight of sweet pepper.

Yield plant⁻¹ (g)

Yield per plant was recorded at several nitrogen doses was significant. The best yield per plant (493.01 g) was recorded from N₃ and least yield per plant (307.17 g) was recorded from control treatment N₀ (Table 1). Ghoname et al. (2005) also found that application of higher doses of nitrogen fertilizer increased the individual yield per plant of sweet pepper. Yield per plant was recorded at different phosphorus levels. Maximum yield per plant (448.46 g) was recorded from P₃ and the minimum yield per plant (397.21 g) was attained from P₀ (Table 2). The combined and interaction effect of nitrogen and phosphorus in yield per plant were statistically significant ($P \leq 0.05$). The highest yield plant⁻¹ (495.54 g) was obtained from the treatment combination of N₃P₃ (Table 3).

Table 3. Combined effects of different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer on growth and yield of sweet pepper

Treatment combinations	Plant height at 125 DAT	Leaves at 125 DAT (no.)	Primary branch plant ⁻¹	Secondary branch plant ⁻¹ at 125 DAT	Fruits plant ⁻¹ (no.)	Fruit length (cm)	Fruit diameter (cm)	Wt. of individual fruit (g)	Yield plant ⁻¹ (g)	Yield (ton ha ⁻¹)
------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------------------	---	----------------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------

			at 125 DAT (no.)	(no.)						
N₀P₀	40.96	122.38	2.69	20.20	9.45	4.38	3.94	31.14	256.21	11.61
N₀P₁	41.59	123.25	2.99	20.57	9.52	4.54	3.95	34.15	289.82	14.27
N₀P₂	42.47	123.52	3.14	20.80	9.73	4.77	4.08	37.24	322.97	14.72
N₀P₃	43.48	124.84	3.27	21.13	9.89	4.82	4.17	39.75	359.69	16.94
N₁P₀	43.04	123.10	3.00	21.25	10.33	4.67	3.97	42.25	370.96	14.64
N₁P₁	43.35	123.34	3.11	21.81	10.44	4.85	3.98	46.62	402.29	16.88
N₁P₂	43.51	123.99	3.20	21.88	10.50	5.03	4.14	46.89	423.31	19.85
N₁P₃	43.74	125.08	3.37	23.13	10.59	5.20	4.28	49.93	452.61	20.47
N₂P₀	43.83	123.24	3.30	21.81	10.65	5.01	4.11	50.38	472.20	21.24
N₂P₁	43.97	124.12	3.36	22.16	10.71	5.16	4.20	51.04	473.05	22.20
N₂P₂	44.66	124.58	3.50	22.43	11.03	5.20	4.29	51.76	481.62	22.59
N₂P₃	45.53	125.21	3.68	23.25	11.21	5.30	4.59	52.52	485.98	23.18
N₃P₀	44.88	123.62	3.62	22.36	11.00	5.35	4.27	51.77	489.46	24.08
N₃P₁	45.34	124.57	3.67	22.66	11.22	5.39	4.31	53.13	492.81	26.07
N₃P₂	45.36	125.00	3.81	22.70	11.66	5.45	4.36	53.55	494.21	26.57
N₃P₃	46.00	125.26	4.55	23.31	12.33	6.28	4.68	54.59	495.54	26.67
LSD_(0.05)	0.32	0.13	0.19	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.21	1.46	14.95	1.04
Level of significance	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

N₀, N₁, N₂ and N₃ demark 0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively; P₀, P₁, P₂ and P₃ demark 0, 30, 60 and 90 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively; * indicates significant at 5% level of probability

Yield (ton ha⁻¹)

Different nitrogen doses considerably ($P \leq 0.05$) impacted the yield of sweet pepper. N₃ produced the maximum yield (25.85 ton ha⁻¹) where N₀ produced the least yield (14.39 ton ha⁻¹) (Table 1). Main effects of different doses of phosphorus was also found to be significant on the yield. The utmost yield (21.81 ton ha⁻¹) was recorded from P₃ and the least (19.90 ton ha⁻¹) was found from P₀ (Table 2). Das and Rath, (1992) also found that application of higher doses of phosphorus fertilizer increased the yield potential of sweet pepper. The cumulative and interaction effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on sweet pepper yield were significant ($P \leq 0.05$) statistically. The treatment combination of N₃P₃ produced the maximum yield (26.67 ton ha⁻¹) where the control treatment N₀P₀ gave the lowest one (11.61 ton ha⁻¹). Benefits of nitrogen and phosphorus application in sweet pepper with respect to increase in yield was recorded by Hari et al. (2006).

CONCLUSION

It was found that growth and yield of sweet pepper in the combined treatment were higher than control. In this experiment, the highest measurable yield 26.67 ton ha⁻¹ was obtained from the combination of treatment of nitrogen (150 kg ha⁻¹) and phosphorus 90 kg ha⁻¹). Whereas the minimum yield 11.61 ton ha⁻¹ was found from the control treatment combination of no nitrogen with no phosphorus application. The study accomplished that judicious combination strategy of (N₃P₃) using 150 kg nitrogen ha⁻¹ with 90 kg phosphorus ha⁻¹ may be helpful in increasing the growth and yield of sweet pepper. To recommend a technology for usage at the grower level, more research may be required on the simultaneous effects of nitrogen and phosphorus on the growth and yield of sweet pepper in different Agro Ecological Zones (AEZ) of Bangladesh.

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the sweet pepper seeds that were provided by the Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur. Also grateful

to the Ministry of Science and Technology of the People's Republic of Bangladesh for providing partial fund for the research through National Science and Technology Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- Akinrinde, E. A., & Adigun, I. (2005). Phosphorus use efficiency by pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*) and okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) at different phosphorus fertilizer application levels on two tropical soils. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 5(10), 1785–1791.
- Alabi, D. A. (2006). Effects of fertilizer phosphorus and poultry droppings treatments on growth and nutrient components of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 5(8), Article 6716.
- Chailloux, T. E., & Cardoxa, I. I. (1992). Effect of nitrogen on capsicum growth in Red Ferralitic soils. *Egyptian Journal of Horticulture*, 24(3–4), 61–66.
- Das, T. K., & Rath, B. S. (1992). Effect of different levels of N and P on the yield of transplanted (irrigated) chili (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Orissa Journal of Agricultural Research*, 5(3/4), 194–196.
- Demirovska, V., Trpevski, V., Ogenovski, V., Stojanova, M., Agic, R., & Sinionov, I. (1992). The effect of different nitrogen rates on the yield and quality of some capsicum cultivars. *Savremena*, 40(1–2), 45–50.
- Elia, A., & Santamaria, P. (1995). Effects of the form of nitrogen on sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Journal of Agronomia*, 29(3), 234–241.
- Ghoname, A., & Shafeek, M. R. (2005). Growth and productivity of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) grown in plastic house as affected by organic, mineral and bio-N fertilizers. *Journal of Agronomy*, 4(4), 369–372.
- Grazia, J., Tittonell, P. A., & Chiesa, A. (2007). The effect of substrates with compost and nitrogenous fertilization on photosynthesis, precocity and pepper (*Capsicum annum*) yield. *Ciencia e Investigacion Agraria*, 34(3), 195–204.
- Hari, G. S., Rao, P. V., Reddy, Y. N., & Reddy, M. S. (2006). Effect of organic manures in combination with nitrogenous fertilizer on yield and nutrient uptake in paprika (*Capsicum annum* L.) under irrigated conditions. *Indian Horticultural Sciences*, 31(2), 230–233.
- Hassanuzzaman, S. M. (1999). *Effect of hormone on yield of belt pepper (Capsicum annum L.)* [Unpublished master's thesis]. Department of Horticulture, Bangladesh Agricultural University.
- Knott, J. E., & Deanon, J. R. (1967). Eggplant, tomato and pepper. In *Vegetable production in Southeast Asia* (pp. 99–109). University of the Philippines Press.
- Kumar, A. K., Sreehari, C., & Reddy, A. V. (2006). Integrated nutrient management in chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) under irrigated conditions. *Research on Crops*, 7(2), 526–528.
- Lovelock, Y. (1973). Various herbs species and condiments. In *The vegetable book* (p. 343). St. Martin's Press.
- Rashid, M. M. (1999). *Sabjee Biggan* [Vegetable science; in Bengali]. Rashid Publishing House.
- Shoemaker, J. S., & Teskey, B. J. (1955). *Practical horticulture*. McGraw-Hill.
- Singh, S. K., Rai, B., Srivastava, J. P., Singh, M. B., & Rai, R. (2000). Nitrogen nutrition and plant population management on dwarf chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Acta Horticulturae*, 520, 184–185.
- Uddin, M. K., & Khalequzzaman, K. M. (2003). Yield and yield component of winter chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) as affected by different levels of nitrogen and boron. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 6(6), 605–609.
- Yoon, J. Y., Green, S. K., Tschanz, A. T., Tsou, S. C. S., & Chang, L. C. (1989). Pepper improvement for the tropics: Problems and the AVRDC approach. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Integrated Management Practices: Tomato and Pepper Production in the Tropics* (pp. 86–98). Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center.

Conflict of Interest: No Conflict of Interest

Source of Funding: Author(s) Funded the Research

How to Cite: Yeasmin, M., Karim, R., & Islam, M. (2025). Effects of Nitrogen and Phosphorus on the Growth and Yield of Sweet Pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.). *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture and Environmental Innovations*, 1(1), 13–19.